
ICS-E5020 Distributed Algorithms


Jukka Suomela

Aalto University Autumn 2014


iki.fi/suo/da-2014




Algorithms for computer networks




Identical computers in an unknown network, all running the same algorithm




Initially each computer only aware of its immediate neighbourhood




Nodes can exchange messages with their neighbours to learn more...



Finally, each computer has to stop and produce its own local output




Focus on graph problems: network topology = input graph



Focus on graph problems:

local outputs = solution (here: graph colouring)



Typical research question:

"How fast can we solve graph problem X?"

Time = number of communication rounds

- Weeks 1–2: informal introduction
 - network = path



- Week 3: graph theory
- Weeks 4–7: models of computing
 - what can be computed (efficiently)?
- Weeks 8–11: lower bounds
 - what cannot be computed (efficiently)?
- Week 12: recap

Week 1

- Warm-up: positive results

Running example: 3-colouring a path

Given a path:

Output a proper 3-colouring, e.g.:

$$1-2-1-3-2 = 0 - 0 - 0 - 0$$

Model of computing: Send, receive, update

All nodes in parallel:


- send messages to their neighbours
- receive messages from neighbours
- update their state

Stopping state = final output

can send/receive, but not update any more

Challenge: Symmetry breaking

 Identical nodes, everything deterministic and synchronised: cannot break symmetry




Challenge: Symmetry breaking


 Identical nodes, everything deterministic and synchronised: cannot break symmetry


Solutions:


- assume unique identifiers
- use randomised algorithms


- Unique IDs = proper colouring with large number of colours
- Goal: reduce the number of colours














- Inform neighbours of your current colour
- If your colour > colours of your neighbours:
 - pick a free colour from {1, 2, 3}
 that is not used by any neighbour
- Stopping states = {1, 2, 3}

Performance

- P3C: worst case O(n)
- We can do better!

Algorithm P3CRand: Using randomness

- Initialise: state = unhappy, colour = 1
- While state = unhappy:
 - pick a new random colour from {1, 2, 3}
 - compare colours with neighbours
 - if different, set state = happy

Performance

- P3C: worst case O(n)
- P3CRand: O(log n) with high probability
- We can do better!
 - and we do not even need randomness

- Unique IDs = proper colouring with large number of colours
- Idea: reduce the number of colours from 2^k to 2^k in one step

- Unique IDs = proper colouring with large number of colours
- Idea: reduce the number of colours from 2^k to 2k in one step
- Note: we will assume a directed path! (general case left as an exercise)



• Example: 128-bit unique IDs

- $2^{128} \rightarrow 2 \cdot 128 = 2^8$ colours
- $2^8 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 8 = 2^4$ colours
- $2^4 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 4 = 2^3$ colours
- $2^4 \rightarrow 2 \cdot 3 = 6$ colours
- From 2¹²⁸ to 6 colours in 4 steps! How?

```
c_0 = my current colour as a k-bit string

c_1 = successor's colour as a k-bit string

i = index of a bit that differs between c_0 and c_1

b = value of bit i in c_0
```

c = 2i + b = my new colour

$$i \in \{0, ..., k-1\}, b \in \{0, 1\}, c \in \{0, ..., 2k-1\}$$


```
c_0 = 123 = 01111011_2 (my colour)
```

$$c_1 = 47 = 00101111_2$$
 (successor's colour)

$$i = 2$$
 (bits numbered 0, 1, 2, ... from right)

$$b = 0$$
 (in my colour bit number i was 0)

$$c = 2 \cdot 2 + 0 = 4$$
 (my new colour)



k = 8, reducing from $2^8 = 256$ to $2 \cdot 8 = 16$ colours

$$c_0 = 123 = 01111011_2$$
 (my colour)
 $c_1 = 47 = 00101111_2$ (successor's colour)

Successor will pick one of these colours: 14+0, 12+0, 10+1, 8+0, 6+1, 4+1, 2+1, 0+1

None of these conflict with my choice: 4+0

```
i = index of a bit that differs between c_0 and c_1

b = value of bit i in c_0

c = 2i + b = my new colour
```

Successor picks different $i \rightarrow different c$ Successor picks same $i \rightarrow different b \rightarrow different c$

My new colour ≠ my successor's new colour

```
c_0 = my current colour as a k-bit string

c_1 = successor's colour as a k-bit string

i = index of a bit that differs between c_0 and c_1

b = value of bit i in c_0
```

c = 2i + b = my new colour

$$i \in \{0, ..., k-1\}, b \in \{0, 1\}, c \in \{0, ..., 2k-1\}$$

Performance

- P3C: worst case O(n)
 - assuming unique IDs
- P3CRand: O(log n) with high probability
- P3CBit: O(log* n)
 - assuming unique IDs are polynomial in n

Performance

- P3CBit: O(log* n)
 - assuming unique IDs are polynomial in n
- Next week: this is optimal!
 - no deterministic distributed algorithm can 3-colour a path in time o(log* n)